

# ADOPTION OF BIOGAS AS AN ALTERNATIVE SOURCE OF ENERGY IN JINJA DISTRICT

BY

### **ALUPO GERTRUDE**

BU/UG/2012/242

gertrudealupo@gmail.com



A RESEARCH DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY,
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL
FULFILLMENT OF REQUIREMENTS FOR AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF
BACHELOR OF ANIMAL PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT OF BUSITEMA
UNIVERSITY

MAY, 2015

## **DECLARATION**

I, Alupo Gertrude hereby declare that this is my original work and has never been submitted to
any university or institution of higher learning for any academic award.
Signature: Date: 14 July 2015
APPROVAL
This dissertation has been submitted for examination with the approval of my supervisor.
Ms. Akullo Jolly
Department of animal production and management,
Faculty of Agriculture and Animal sciences,
Lecturer, Busitema University.
Signature: Date: 14th - July - 2015
CLASS NO.1  ACCESS NO.1  ACCESS NO.1  ACCESS NO.1  ACCESS NO.1

# DEDICATION

I dedicate this dissertation to my father, Mr. Otim Pamfilio my mother Mrs. Otim Esther and all my siblings.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I acknowledge the untiring efforts of my supervisor, Ms. Akullo Jolly, who guided me throughout the research period. I also appreciate Mr. Otim Pamfilio and Mr. Mumbya Alex for their assistance in compiling and analyzing data. Not forgetting Mr. Ojakol Samson who assisted me to identify farmers in the field. I also thank Mr. Okay who gave me information concerning CARITAS JINJA and the biogas project they are running. Lastly, great thanks go to my colleague, Naika Vincent who assisted me to administer some questionnaires to farmers. Finally, great thanks go to Wagali Philip who assisted in editing this work. God bless you all,

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECL	ARATION	i
DEDIC	CATION	ii
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENT	. iii
TABL	E OF CONTENTS	. iv
LIST (	OF TABLES	, vi
LIST (	OF FIGURES	vii
ABST	RACT	. ix
	TER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1,1	Background	1
1.2 F	Research Problem	2
1.3 (	General Objective	2
1.4	Specific Objectives	.,.3
1.5 F	Research Questions	3
1.6 S	Significance	3
1.7	Justification	4
1.8	Scope	4
СНАР	TER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1	Perception of farmers towards biogas	5
2.2	Level of awareness about biogas as an alternative source of energy	6
2.3 T	Pactors responsible for adoption of biogas as an alternative source of energy	7
2.3	3.1 Adoption of biogas globally	9
2.:	3.2 Adoption of Biogas Regionally	1,0
2.3	3.3 Adoption of Biogas in Uganda	11
2.4	Factors for non- adoption of biogas	13

CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS17
3.1 Study Area
3.2 Study Design
3.3 Study Population
3.4 Sampling Design
3.5 Sample size determination
3.6 Operational Design
3.7 Observational Design
3.8 Statistical Design
3.9 Data presentation and analysis
3.10 Ethical considerations
3.11 Environmental Considerations
3:12 Limitations
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS
4.1 Household characteristics of the respondents who adopted biogas technology21
4.1.1 Type of farming and system of rearing livestock
4.1.2 General information about the respondents and biogas technology22
4.2 Reasons for adoption of biogas among respondents in Jinja District24
4.3 Challenges faced by farmers who adopted biogas technology
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS32
6.1 Conclusions
6.2 Recommendations
REFERENCES
APPENDICES

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Showing the socio economic characteristics of the respondents	21
Table 2: Shows general information about biogas and respondents	23
Table 3: Showing sources of Knowledge on operation and maintenance of a biogas plant	and
feed stock used by respondents	24

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Number of livestock owned by respondents	22
Figure 2: Showing reasons for adoption of biogas	25
Figure 3: Showing challenges faced by farmers who had adopted biogas	26
Map 1: A map of Uganda showing the location of Jinja district	45

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABPP:

Africa Biogas Partnership Program

AEATRI:

Agricultural Engineering and Appropriate Research Institute, Namalere

CAMARTEC:

Centre for Agricultural Mechanization and Appropriate Technology

CARITAS:

Catholic Agency for Overseas Aid and Development

CBOs:

Community Based Organizations

CREEC:

Centre for Research in Energy and Energy Conservation

DGIS:

Directorate General for International Cooperation

FAO:

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

**HIVOS:** 

Humanist Institute for Cooperation with Developing Countries

IP:

Implementing Partner

NAADS:

National Agricultural Advisory Services

NGOs:

Non Governmental Organizations

PPP:

Public Private Partnership

SACCO:

Savings and Credit Cooperative Society

SNV:

Netherlands Development Organization

SPSS:

Statistical Packages for Social Sciences

UBOS:

Uganda Bureau of Statistics

UDBP:

Uganda Domestic Biogas Program

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to obtain information about adoption of biogas as an alternative source of energy in Jinja district. Data was collected from 75 households which owned livestock.

Most (60%) of the respondents were male. The most predominant age group was > 46 years (44%), and the majority (52%) had a family size of 6 to 10 people and had attained tertiary education. Majority (80%) of respondents owned fixed domed bio digester. Major sources of information and maintenance about biogas were mainly from NGOs (64%), Most (48%) of the respondents attributed the reason for adopting biogas to availability of feedstock and the most predominant challenge faced by most respondents who adopted biogas technology in the study area was low gas volume (20%).

Basing on the results of the study, it was concluded that all the respondents carried out mixed farming and most of the farmers owned cattle under intensive system of rearing. Most (48%) of the respondents attributed the reason for adopting biogas to availability of feedstock and the most predominant challenge faced by most respondents who adopted biogas technology in the study area was low volume of gas. The researcher recommends that operators of biogas plants should prepare feedstock appropriately that is mixing the water or urine with excrement to get a porridge mixture and use fresh excrement for feeding the digester to overcome the challenge of low gas volume.

#### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

Although having adequate, affordable, efficient and reliable energy services with minimum effect to the environment is a necessity to achieve social, economic and environmental aspects of development (Nyabawe & Kisaalita 2014). Marks & Wagg (2013) noted that 1.3 billion people had no access to electricity and 2.6 billion had no clean cooking solutions globally. This explains why in a report of the Ministry of water and environment, Kamuntu (2012) stated that there was need to create awareness for incentives for alternative sources of energy.

According to Dahunsi & Oranusi (2013), a biogas plant is an appropriate and sustainable method of disposal of human or animal waste to produce slurry and biogas for cooking and lighting in order to reduce on the strain on the environment by decreasing the use of biomass, and the production of green house gases as the methane produced from the manure is captured and used. Mulinda *et al.* (2013) referred biogas technology to a form of biomass energy which incorporates a wide range of biomass fuels which are often used in their unprocessed form.

In order to improve living conditions of households in Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania, Ethiopia, Senegal and Burkina Faso, the African Biogas Partnership Program (ABPP) was established in 2008. ABPP works hand in hand with The Netherlands Development Organization (SNV) which provides advisory services, with the aim of improving basic services, production, income and employment for people. In Uganda, the Uganda Domestic Biogas Program (UDBP) was initiated under the ABPP by Heifer International (Tumwesigye, 2013).

According to Sabiiti & Karugi (2006), the overall objective of the UDBP is to disseminate domestic biogas in rural and peri - urban areas with the ultimate goal of establishing a sustainable and commercially viable biogas sector in Uganda. The Catholic Agency for Overseas Aid and Development (CARITAS) JINJA was given the mandate to act as the Implementing Partner (IP) for UDBP in Busoga region of which Jinja district is inclusive.

#### REFERENCES

- Akram, W., M. Irfan, et al. (2013). "The Perception about the Biogas Technology Adoption: A Case Study of District Faisalabad (Punjab, Pakistan)." Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research 17(2): 256-259.
- Al Seadi, T., D. Rutz, et al., (2008). Biogas Handbook.—University of Southern Denmark Esbjerg, ISBN 978-87-992962-0-0.
- Amigun, B., A. Aboyade, et al. (2012). <u>Anaerobic biogas generation for rural area energy provision in Africa</u>, INTECH Open Access Publisher.
- Bhat, P., H. Chanakya, et al. (2001). "Biogas plant dissemination: success story of Sirsi, India." Energy for sustainable development 5(1): 39-46.
- Boonrod, K., S. Towprayoon, et al. "Public Perspective toward Waste-to-energy Applications in Thailand: An Opportunity on Biogas Production from Organic Waste."
- Burns & Grove (1993), The practice of nursing research: ISBN: 9781455707362.
- Crook. M & Buren, A (1979) A Chinese Biogas Manual. Popularizing technology in the country side Pg 20 ISBN 0 903031 65 5.
- Dahunsi, S. and S. Oranusi (2013). "Co-digestion of food waste and human excreta for biogas production."
- Dieter, D. and A. Steinhauser (2008). Biogas from waste and renewable resources: an introduction, Weihelm, Germany: Wiley-VCH.
- Feder, G., R. E. Just, et al. (1985). "Adoption of agricultural innovations in developing countries: A survey." <u>Economic development and cultural change</u>: 255-298.
- Frankel, J. R & Wallen, E (2004). How to design and evaluate research in education.
- Fred. L (2013) Quality and usage of biogas digesters in Uganda. Un published report,

- Görgen, M., B. Rudloff, et al. (2009). <u>Foreign direct investment (FDI) in land in developing countries</u>, GTZ.
- Hazra, S., J. Lewis, et al. (2014). Adoption and use of improved stoves and biogas plants in rural India.
- Heikoop, B. (2013). How Could the Uptake of Biogas Technology be Increased in Uganda?, United Nations University.
- Kahubire, E. B., Andrew, B., Kahubire, B., Byaruhanga, A. B. & Mohammed, S. A. (2010) Socio-economic and gender baseline survey for the Uganda domestic biogas project.
- Kamuntu .E (2012) Ministry of Water and Environment Sector Performance Report.
- Karki, A. B. and B. Expert (2006). "Country Report on the Use of Bio-slurry in Nepal." Kathmandu: BSP-Nepal.
- Kasisira L.L, & Muyiiya. N.D. (2009) Assessment of the Effect of Mixing Pig and Cow Dung on Biogas Yield. Agricultural Engineering International: the CIGR E journal. Manuscript PM 1329, Vol. XI.
- Kothari, C. (2004). Research methodology: Methods and techniques, New Age International.
- MAAIF, U. (2009). "THE NATIONAL LIVESTOCK CENSUS REPORT 2008."

  Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF),

  Entebbe/Uganda Bureau of Statistics, Kampala, Uganda.
- Mark, E. (2012). Assessing the use of power generation technologies in Uganda: A case study of Jinja Municipality, PhD Thesis. Stockholm University.
- Marks. J & Wagg. O (2013) Clean Start Connections Expanding Market based energy finance solutions. A business led approach to providing clean energy financing for the rural poor. Issue 1 Publisher UNCDF CleanStartwww.uncdf.org/en/clean start
- Menya. E et al., (2013) Biogas initiative as an alternative to fuel wood for a household in Uleppi sub-county in Uganda. Agricultural engineering International: CIGR Journal 15(1):50-58.

- Miah, M. D., H. Al Rashid, et al. (2009). "Wood fuel use in the traditional cooking stoves in the rural floodplain areas of Bangladesh: a socio-environmental perspective." Biomass and Bioenergy 33(1): 70-78.
- Mulinda, C., Q. Hu, et al., (2013). "Dissemination and Problems of African Biogas." Energy and Power Engineering 5(08): 506.
- Mwakaje, A. G. (2008). "Dairy farming and biogas use in Rungwe district, South-west Tanzania: A study of opportunities and constraints." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 12(8): 2240-2252.
- Mwakaje, A. G. (2012). <u>Dairy Farming and the Stagnated Biogas Use in Rungwe</u>

  <u>District. Tanzania: An Investigation of the Constraining Factors, INTECH Open</u>

  Access Publisher.
- Mwirigi, J., Balana. B. B., *et al.*, (2014). "Socio-economic hurdles to widespread adoption of small-scale biogas digesters in Sub-Saharan Africa: A review." biomass and bioenergy 70: 17-25.
- Mwirigi. J. W, Makenzi. P. M, Ochola. W. O. Socio-economic constraints to adoption and sustainability of biogas technology by farmers in Nakuru districts, Kenya. Energy Sustain Devel 2009;13(2):106e15.
- Ndereba, P. (2013). Factors influencing the usage of Biogas in Kenya: A case of Ndaragwa constituency, Nyandarua county.
- Ndyabawe, K. & Kisaalita W. S. (2014). "Validity of the Africa-wide Lang factor of 2.63 for estimating small biogas plant installation costs in Uganda." International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering 7(1): 70-76.
- Nguu. J. Ndivo. S. Aduda. B. Nyongesa. F. Musembi. R. (2014) Livestock farmers' perception on generation of cattle waste- based Biogas methane: the case of Embu West district, Kenya. Journal of Energy technologies and policy ISSN 2224-3232 Vol. 4, No. 8.

- Noy, C. (2008). "Sampling knowledge: The hermeneutics of snowball sampling in qualitative research." <u>International Journal of social research methodology</u> **11**(4): 327-344.
- Ocwieja, S. M. (2010). Life cycle thinking assessment applied to three biogas projects in central Uganda, Michigan Technological University.
- Okaka, W. T. (1988)"The energy scene in Uganda: an image of the national energy sector."
- Omer .A. M, Fadalla. Y. (2003) Technical note: biogas energy technology in Sudan. Renew Energy perspective." Biomass and Bioenergy. Vol. 33. pp. 70-78.
- Pandey, B., Subedi, P. S., Sengendo, M. & Monroe, I. 2007. Biogas for a better life: An African initiative. Report prepared for the Dutch Ministry of Foreign Affairs by Winrock International.
- Pingali, P. L., Bigot, Y. & Binswanger, H. P. 1987. Agricultural mechanization and the evolution of farming systems in Sub-Saharan Africa, Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Polit, D. and B. Hungler (1995). "Evaluating research reports." <u>Nursing research.</u>

  <u>Principles and Method</u>: 574-588.
- Renwick M, Subedi PS, Hutton G. Biogas for better life: an African initiative. A costbenefit analysis of national and regional integrated biogas and sanitation programs in Sub-Saharan Africa. Little Rock, Arkansas: Winrock International; Apr. 2007. p. 68.
- Ruthenberg, H. 1980. Farming systems in the tropics, Oxford University Press.
- Schwengels, P. (2009). "Dairy Manure Biogas Opportunitiesin Manica Province, Mozambique." A Preliminary Assessment. Prepared for Land O'Lakes International Development Smallholder Dairy Development Programin Manica Province, Mozambique.
- Seadi, T, (2008). "Biogas handbook", Published by University of Southern Denmark Esbjerg, Niels Bohrs Vej 9-10, DK-6700 Esbjerg, Denmark.

- Sengendo, M., Turyahabwe, E., Christopher, K., Muganzi, M., Kamara, E., Rugumayo, A., ... & Mussazi, M. (2010). Programme Implementation Document (PID) for Uganda Domestic Biogas Programme. Uganda Domestic Biogas Programme: Kampala, Uganda.
- Shokri. Sh (2011) Biogas Technology, Applications, Perspectives and Implications International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research Volume 2, Number 3, Pg 54 paragraph 10 Summer 2011(Serial #4) www. SID.ir.
- Smith, J., G. Austin, et al. (2012), <u>The potential of small-scale biogas digesters to alleviate poverty and improve long term sustainability of ecosystem services in Sub-Saharan Africa.</u> Interdisciplinary Expert Workshop.
- Statistics, U. B. O. (2014). Statistical Abstract.
- Tumwesige, V. (2013). The potential of flexible balloon digesters to improve livelihoods in Uganda. A case study of Tiribogo, James Hutton Institute.
- Uli.W, Nicolai.H, Ulrich Stohr-Grabowski (1989) Biogas plants in animal husbandry a practical guide. A Publication of Deutsches Zentrum für Entr. ddungstechnologien GATE in: Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technixhe Zuszmmenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH ISBN J-528-02048-2.
- Upham. P. & Shackley. S. (2007) Local public opinion of a proposed 21.5 MW (e) biomass gasifier in Devon: Questionnaire survey results, Biomass and Bioenergy. 31(6), p 433-441.
- Volk. S & Janssen. R (2008) Biogas handbook Pg 13 http:// lemvigbiogas.com/.
- Wachera. W. R. (2014) Assessing the challenges of adopting biogas technology in energy provision among dairy farmers in Nyeri county, Kenya. Un published report.
- Walekhwa PN, Mugisha J, Drake L. (2009) Biogas energy from family-sized digesters in Uganda: critical factors and policy implications. Energy Policy; 37(7):2754e62. WP 86–14 ISBN: 978-9937-596-16 ISBN: 978-3-527-31841-4.
- Wenpeng, Z. (2002). "On a Cochrane sum and its hybrid mean value formula." <u>Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications</u> 267(1): 89-96.