# IMPACT OF IMPROVED MAIZE VARIETIES ADOPTION ON SMALLHOLDER FARMERS' MARKETED MAIZE SURPLUS IN LWAKHAKHA TOWN COUNCIL, NAMISINDWA DISTRICT

## BY MUKHOLI WILBERFORCE BU/UP/2017/1339

SUPERVISOR: PROF. OCHWO VICTOR AKANGAH (PhD)

A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF A BACHELOR OF SCIENCE DEGREE OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY.

**DECEMBER 2020** 

## **DECLARATION**

| I MUKHOLI WILBERFORCE declare that this project report is my own findings and has never          |
|--|
| been submitted for the award of any degree in this university or any other higher institution of |
| learning in Uganda and the world at large, to the best of my knowledge. All the information      |
| given here is based on my own findings and those referred to are well acknowledged.              |

#### **APPROVAL**

Supervisor

This is to certify that this research report titled "IMPACT OF IMPROVED MAIZE VARIETIES ON SMALL HOLDER FARMERS' MARKETED MAIZE SURPLUS IN LWAKHAKHA TOWN COUNCIL, NAMISINDWA DISTRICT." submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Bachelor of Science Education degree of Busitema University as an authentic record of bona fide research carried out by Mukholi Wilberforce (BU/UP/2017/1339) under my guidance. No part of this research report has been submitted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any other institution of higher learning.

| Sign                              | Date |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| Prof. Ochwoh Victor Akangah (Phd) |      |

#### **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this work to my beloved Father **Mr. Kutosi Edward** and Mother **Kharono Lonah** for the tireless support that they have rendered to me most especially through this level of learning, I am so humbled to say may the almighty God reward you abundantly for the supporting hearts.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I wish to express my sincere gratitude and thanks to God Almighty who has made it possible for me to come this far.

I also wish to thank my supervisor Prof. Ochwoh Victor Akangah in a special way for his sacrifice in guiding and directing me in this study.

I would like to acknowledge the contribution of my lecturers who offered technical guidance from the beginning, during the research up to the end.

I also appreciate the tireless effort of the entire department of agriculture of Nagongera campus and Busitema University at large for the great seed of education that they planted in me to see that I come to a successful end, I am grateful to Mr. Dramadri Gerald Afayo, Mr. Ochan Martin, Mr. Ongua Fanuel and Mr. Oguzu Evans.

I am indebted to my dearest fiancée Masibo Joan Juliet for her patience, moral and social support during this study and my stay at Busitema.

I wish to acknowledge the support of my academic friends that's Kuteesa sarah, Mukuba Isaac, Kitoyi Anthony, Waiswa Nicholas among others for their continuous assistance in encouraging me to never give up.

My special appreciation also goes to my family members, brothers JOHN, DERRICK, APPOLLO and Sisters WINNIEFREDER, JANET, IRENE and ANNAH May the almighty God bless you all for your endless efforts.

## Contents

| DECLARATIONi   | İ  |
|--|----|
| APPROVALii   | i  |
| DEDICATIONiv   | /  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS   | /  |
| LIST OF TABLES   | i  |
| LIST OF FIGURESi   | i  |
| LIST OF ACRONYMSii   | i  |
| ABSTRACTiv   | /  |
| CHAPTER ONE  | /  |
| INTRODUCTION   | /  |
| 1.1 Background of the Study  | /  |
| 1.2 Problem Statement of the study                                   | /  |
| 1.3 Justification of the studyv                                      | i  |
| 1.4 Objectivesv  | i  |
| 1.4.1 Main objectivev  | i  |
| 1.4.2 Specific objectivesv   | i  |
| 1.5 Research Questionsv  | i  |
| 1.6 Research Hypotheses vi   | i  |
| 1.7 Significance of the study vi                                     | i  |
| 1.7 Scope of the studyvi   | i  |
| 1.9 Conceptual frameworkvi   | i  |
| CHAPTER TWOi>  | <  |
| LITERATURE REVIEWix  | <  |
| 2.0 Introductionix   | <  |
| 2.1 The Definition and Concept of Agricultural Technology Adoptionix | <  |
| 2.2. Level of adoption of improved maize varieties                   | Κ. |

| 2.3 Adoption of improved of  | rop varieties -farmers' decision-making behaviorix  |
|------------------------------|---|
| 2.4 Theoretical Framework    | for Adoptionx                                       |
| 2.5 Methods for Impact Ass   | sessmentx   |
| 2.6.1 Factors affecting the  | adoption of improved maize varietiesx               |
| 2.6.2 Personal and demogra   | aphic variablesxi                                   |
| 2.6.3 Socio-economic facto   | rsxi  |
| 2.6.4 Institutional factors  | xi  |
| 2.6.5 Psychological factors. | xi  |
| 2.6.6Strategies for improvi  | ng the adoption of improved maize varieties xii     |
| 2.7 Empirical studies on the | e impacts of agricultural technologies adoption xii |
| Adoption of improved maiz    | e varieties in Uganda xii                           |
| The impact of improved ma    | nize varieties on producer and consumer welfarexiii |
| Constraints to higher use o  | f improved agricultural technologiesxiv             |
| CHAPTER THREE                | 1   |
| MATERIALS AND METHODS        | 1   |
| 3.0 Introduction             | 1   |
| 3.1 Materials                | 1   |
| 3.1.1 Research area          | 1   |
| 3.1.2 Topography             | 2   |
| 3.1.3 Vegetation             | 2   |
| 3.1.4 Soil types             | 3   |
| 3.1.5 Land tenure            | 3   |
| 3.1.6 Land holdings          | 3   |
| 3.1.7 Land use systems       | 3   |
| 3.1.8 Population             | 3   |
| 3.1.9 Socio Economic activi  | ties3   |
| 3.2 Methodology              | 4   |
| 3.2.1 Population and sampl   | ing procedure4                                      |
| 3.2.2 Research instruments   | 4   |

| 3.2.3 Interview guide   | 4  |
|---|----|
| 3.2.4 Questionnaires  | 4  |
| 3.2.5 Data collection   | 4  |
| 3.3 Data processing and analysis  | 4  |
| CHAPTER FOUR  | 5  |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION  | 5  |
| 4.0 Iintroduction   | 5  |
| 4.1 identifying the demographic, socio-economic and institutional factors which determine the adoptor of improved maize varieties by small holder farmers |    |
| 4.2 Analysis of the current adoption status of improved maize varieties   | 10 |
| 4.4 Establishing the impact of improved maize varieties adoption on marketed surplus of maize gra   |    |
| CHAPTER FIVE  | 20 |
| SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATIONS  | 20 |
| 5.0 Introduction  | 20 |
| 5.1 Summary and conclusions   | 20 |
| 5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS   | 22 |
| REFFERENCES   | 24 |
| APPENDIX 1  | 26 |
| QUESTIONNAIRE   | 26 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1:  | shows household composition age category of the respondents                           |
|-----------|---|
| Table 2:  | shows how respondents divide their land into various farm activities                  |
| Table 3:  | Shows the structure of land of the farmers in Lwakhakha town council                  |
| Table 4:  | showing the number of respondents who grow crops in the town council                  |
| Table 5:  | shows the period of years respondents have been growing maize                         |
| Table 6:  | Indicates the frequency of farmers who use improved maize varieties                   |
| Table 7:  | shows the different varieties grown by the farmers                                    |
| Table 8:  | shows the number of farmers who experience a decrease in the production of maize      |
| Table 9:  | Indicates the reasons for the decrease in production of maize grain                   |
| Table 10: | shows the type of land holding system of the farmers                                  |
| Table 11: | shows the land tenure system of the respondents                                       |
| Table 12: | shows the respondents who have access to extension services                           |
| Table 13: | shows those farmers who have access to market information                             |
| Table 14: | reasons why respondents have not used improved maize varieties                        |
| Table 15: | impact of improved maize varieties adoption on marketed maize surplus                 |
| Table 16: | factors that affect quality of maize grain that is taken to the market by the farmers |
| Table 17; | Amount of maize grain respondents harvested the past season                           |

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

| Figure 1:  | Map of Namisindwa showing the Location of Lwakhakha Town Council   |
|------------|--|
| Figure 2:  | Pie chart showing the Gender of the respondents in Lwakhakha Town Council  |
| Figure 3:  | Bar graph showing the Age of the Respondents in Lwakhakha Town Council   |
| Figure 4:  | Histogram showing the education level of the farmers   |
| Figure 5:  | Bar graph showing how farmers divide their land into different activities  |
| Figure 6:  | Pie chart showing Farmers experience in maize farming  |
| Figure 7:  | A pie chart showing the different crops grown in Lwakhakha town council  |
| Figure 8:  | A pie chart showing reasons for the decrease in the production of maize grain  |
| Figure 9:  | showing land holding system of the farmers   |
| Figure 10: | A pie chart showing percentage of farmers who improved maize varieties have affected amount of maize taken to the market |

#### **LIST OF ACRONYMS**

MAAIF Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries

UFAAS Uganda Forum for Agricultural Advisory Services

NARO National Agricultural Research Organisation

PSNP Productive Safety Net Program

MT Meters

HA Hectare

PMA Plan for Modernization of Agriculture

CIMMYT Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maiz y Trigo (International Maize and

Wheat Improvement Centre)

ATE Average Treatment Effect

#### **ABSTRACT**

Adoption of improved agricultural varieties in Africa is low. This situation is not different in Uganda. Though increasing yield is a priority, mere increase in production do not motivate farmers to adopt new varieties. When farmers are able to produce more and can sell in the output markets, they will have much more incentive to adopt the new varieties and be productive. This study, therefore, aims at evaluating the impact of adoption of improved maize varieties on farmers' market participation in four parishes of Lwakhakha Town council, Namisindwa district of Uganda. The study utilized cross-sectional household level data collected by CIMMYT in 2012/2013 from 300 randomly selected sample households. Both descriptive and econometric methods have been used to analyze the data. The descriptive analyses results show the existence of significant mean and proportion difference between adopters and non-adopters in terms of age, education, family size, livestock ownership, land holding, distance to main market, accesses to output and input markets, access to extension services, and access to credit in favor of adopters. The results of the logistic model show that adoption of the improved maize varieties among households was found to be positively influenced by adult-literacy, family size, livestock wealth, access to output market and credit access for the new varieties. On the other hand, farmer associations, distance to main markets and fertilizer credit influenced adoption negatively. Moreover, the results of the ATE model show a robust and positive increase in marketed maize grain per household which ranges from around 442kg in the case of kernel-based matching at bandwidth of 0.05 to 483kg in the case of radius matching at a radius of 0.03 at p<0.01. The results from this study revealed that the significant impact of adoption on improving the farmers' participation to output markets. Therefore, it is recommended to promote adoption of the improved varieties as it is essential for inducing farmers' market participation that helps them in generating income and in improving their lives.