

INCIDENCE OF TYLOSIN RESIDUE IN BEEF CONSUMED IN DOKOLO DISTRICT.

BY:

AYO SUSAN

BU/UP/2018/2025.

A RESEARCH DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES FOR THE AWARD OF A BACHELORS DEGREE OF ANIMAL PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY.

SEPTEMBER, 2021.

ABSTRACT.

Themain objective of the study was to assess the concentration of tylosin residue in beef consumed in Dokolo districtlocated in the Nothern part of Uganda. The study employed a quantitative approach where laboratory method was usedand the concentration of tylosin was determinedusing HPLC machine. A total of 30 fresh beef (muscle) samples were purposively collected from the abattoir, slaughter slabs, and butcheries foundin the district. The samples were taken to Wandegeya Analytical Laboratory for analysis. The concentrations were determined and then compaired with the MRL as per the FAO/WHO Limits (0.1 parts per million). Results showed that tylosin was detected in all the samples submitted and the mean concentration was found to be 0.413±0.37 ppm which was aboveWHO/FAO Limits. The one sample t- test conducted also showed that there is a significant difference between the actual mean and the hypothesized mean. This is because p- value (0.0001) is less than the level of significance (0.05). The highlevels of the drug residues could have beendue to itsheavy use

Or failure to follow manufacturer's instructions. In conclusion, the study has shown that the poisonous drug residues (Tylosin) was present in beef consumed in Dokolo district. This finding demonstrate that there is a possibility of public health risk on the general public who consume beef from this research area. Therefore, there is need to reduce the concentration to levels that are not of public health concern. This can be through practical strategies such as; continuous sensitization of the public about drug use specifically tylosin. Comprehensive assessment of drug residues in all livestock products should also be done, this is to generate data for guidingpolitcy makers. Other scientists can also research on the concentration of this drug in other organs apart from muscle and also in other animal species.

DECLARATION.

Signature	Date	
REG NO: BU/UP/2018/2025.		
NAME: AYO SUSAN.		
any institution for any assistance or award of aca	ademic credit or qualification.	
any institution for any assistance or ayyard of academic andit or avalification		

I Ayo Susan declare that this report contains my own work and has never been submitted to

APPROVAL.		
This report has been submitted with the approval of my Academic supervisor.		
SUPERVISOR:		
DR.GERALD ZIRINTUNDA		
Lecturer		
Department of Animal production and Management		
Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Sciences		
Busitema University,		
P.O Box, 236 Tororo, Uganda.		
Signature Date		

......

......

DEDICATION.

I would like to dedicate this work to my beloved husband, Mr. Wacha Caleb Omara, our father pastor Jimmy Omara and all my relatives who worked together with me up to this far. May the Lord bless you all for the kindeness you showed me.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In a special way, I would like to acknowledge the tireless support and contribution provided to me by my supervisor, **Dr. Gerald Zirintunda**, **Dr. Henry Matovu**, the research coordinator and **all my lecturers** in Animal Department during this special research project, may the Almighty God bless all of you abundantly.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to the District Production Officer of Dokolo district, **Dr. Richard Enyang** for granting me permission to collect samplesfrom his area.

To my fellow students, am also greatful for the experiences we shared during the entire project period.

Lastly, I thank Busitema University for giving me the opportunity to pursue this program, long live and continue to pursue excellence.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Contents

ABSTRACT. 1	
DECLARATION.	i
APPROVAL	ii
DEDICATION.	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
LIST OF FIGURES.	vi
LIST OF TABLES.	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ix
1.0 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION:	1
1.1 BACKGROUND:	. 1
1.2 Problem statement:	. 2
1.3 General objective:	. 2
1.4 Specific objectives:	. 2
1.5 Research Questions:	.3
1.6 Justification:	.3
1.7 Significance.	. 3
1.8 Scope of the study	. 4
2.0 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW:	5
2.1 Description and development of Tylosin:	.5
2.2 Indication:	.5
2.3 Mechanism of action:	.5
2.4 Absorption, distribution, elimination, and withdrawal period:	.5
2.5 Determination of levels of Tylosin in beef:	.6
2.5.1. Effects of tylosin residue in beef on human health:	. 7
2.5.2. Methods of determining tylosin levels in beef:	.7
2.5.3 .High Performance Liquid Chromatography:	.7
2.6 The acceptability of tylosin residues in beef against WHO/FAO limits:	
3.0 CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS:	
3.1 Research approach:	
3.2 Sampling design:	9

	Appendix 4: Raw data of Tylosin in ppmxi	iv
i)	Sample collection. ii) Laboratory machine.	xiii
	Appendix 4: Photos of the researcherxi	iii
	Appendix 3: Consent Letter/Permission from the universityxi	iii
	Appendix 2: Timelinex	(ii
	Appendix 1: Budget	xi
A]	PPENDICES	xi
RI	EFERENCES	i
	6.2. RECOMMENDATIONS2	0
	6.1. CONCLUSION2	0
6.0	0 CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	20
5.0	0 CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION	18
	4.2. Objective 2: Comparisons against the MRLs as per the international WHO/FAO limits1	.6
	4.1Objective 1: Identification of Tylosin in beef ofDokolodistrict	6
4.(0 CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS	15
	3.8 Limitations / Anticipated Problems:1	4
	3.7 Environmental Considerations:1	4
	3.6 Ethical Consideration:1	4
	3.5 Data presentation:	4
	3.4 Statistical design:1	.3
	3.3.6 Calibration graph:1	.3
	3.3.5 Sample preparation:	.3
	3.3.4 Apparatus:	.2
	3.3.3 Preparation of standard solutions:	.2
	3.3.2 Materials and reagents:1	.2
	3.3.1. Laboratory analysis:1	2
	3.3.Operational design :	.1
	3.2.2 Sample collection procedure, storage and transportation	0
	3.2.1 Sample size:	9

LIST OF FIGURES.

Figure 1. showing the map of Dokolo where samples were taken from.

LIST OF TABLES.

Table 1. Concentrations of tylosin drug residues in beef from Dokolo district.

Table 2. Comparing mean concentrations of Tylosin with FAO/WHO limits using a one sample t-est.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADI Aceptible Daily Intake

Bw Body weight

EU European Union

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization

HPLC High Perfomnce Liquid Chromatography

ILCR Institute of Legal and Constitutional Research

Kg Kilogram

MAAIF Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industries and Fisheries

Mg Milligram

Mμ Micrometer.

MRL Maximum Residue Limit

RNA RiboNucleic Acid

TAL Tolerable Intake Levels

UBOS Uganda Bureau Of Statistics

Vd Volume of Distribution

WHO World Health Organization

DVO District Veterinary Officer.

PPM Parts Per Million.